

1 WO

2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**  
8

9 Hai Van Le,

No. CV 11-0744-PHX-RCB

10 Plaintiff,

11 vs.

**ORDER**

12 Arizona Department of Corrections, et  
13 al.,

14 Defendants.  
15

16 Plaintiff Hai Van Le brought this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983  
17 against several employees of the Arizona Department of Corrections (ADC). (Doc. 8.)  
18 On May 26, 2013, Defendants filed a second motion for summary judgment. (Doc. 72.)  
19 Plaintiff filed his response before the Court issued a notice pursuant to *Rand v. Rowland*,  
20 154 F.3d 952, 962 (9th Cir. 1998) (*en banc*). (Docs. 75, 78.) The Court permitted  
21 Plaintiff an opportunity to file a supplemental response, which he did. (Docs. 78, 81.)  
22 The Court granted Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment on September 12, 2013,  
23 and judgment was entered. (Docs. 88, 89.)

24 Plaintiff now moves for an extension of time to respond to the *Rand* notice. (Doc.  
25 90.) Because Plaintiff had the opportunity to supplement his response to the Motion for  
26 Summary Judgment, no response to the *Rand* notice is required, and judgment has been  
27 entered and the case closed, Plaintiff's Motion is denied.  
28

1 **IT IS ORDERED:**

2 (1) Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to the Court's *Rand*  
3 Notice (Doc. 90) is **denied**.

4 (2) The Clerk of Court is directed to accept no further filings in this case except  
5 in connection with an appeal.

6 DATED this 21st day of October, 2013.

7  
8  
9 

10 Robert C. Broomfield  
11 Senior United States District Judge  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28